

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 NAYELLY GALLARDO SANTIAGO,
9 Plaintiff,
10 v.
11 GURNICK ACADEMY,
12 Defendant.

Case No. [24-cv-09112-PHK](#)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE:
FAILURE TO FILE AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

Re: Dkt. 12

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

14 On May 2, 2025, the Court conducted the mandatory screening requirements of Plaintiff
15 Nayelly Gallardo Santiago's complaint pursuant to the *in forma pauperis* statute, 28 U.S.C. §
16 1915(e)(2)(B). [Dkt. 12]. The Court dismissed the complaint without prejudice and granted
17 Plaintiff Santiago thirty (30) days' leave to refile an amended complaint. *Id.* The amended
18 complaint was due June 2, 2025. *Id.*

19 On June 11, 2025, more than a week after the deadline had passed, the Court sent a courtesy
20 email to Plaintiff Santiago, informing her that the deadline had passed and warning that failure to
21 file the amended complaint could lead to dismissal. The same day, Plaintiff Santiago informed the
22 Court that she had COVID-19 and she would file the amended complaint in a week. As of the date
23 of this Order, two weeks have passed, and Plaintiff Santiago has not submitted any documents.

24 //

25 //

26 //


27 //

28 //

1 Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE IN WRITING** by
2 **July 23, 2025**, why the Court should not dismiss this case for failure to prosecute and/or comply
3 with a court order. Plaintiff Santiago may satisfy this Order by filing an amended complaint. Failure
4 to timely respond to this Order may result in the dismissal of this action.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated: June 25, 2025

7 
8 PETER H. KANG
United States Magistrate Judge

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
United States District Court
Northern District of California